

first publication

This contribution was presented in:

Panel VII: *Non/Elite Networks*,

December 12, 2003.

\* Many thanks to the participants of the conference *NetzKulturWissenschaft – NetCultureScience* in Budapest for their lively discussion.

Among the topics addressed by them I want to draw attention here to two largely unexplored aspects: first, the emotional and potentially sexual implications of patronage dependency and intimacy (Anastasia Christou), and second, spacial dimensions of early modern networks (Christina Lutter). Many thanks also to Thomas M. Safley for discussing this article with me and for improving my English.

1 This essay is a short version of a longer study on patronage relationships in early modern society, esp. in scholars' milieus, based on autobiographical writings: Jancke, Gabriele: *Autobiographie als soziale Praxis. Beziehungskonzepte in Selbstzeugnissen des 15. und 16. Jahrhunderts im deutschsprachigen Raum*. Köln, Weimar, Wien: Böhlau 2002 (*Selbstzeugnisse der Neuzeit* 10), pp. 75-165; further references are to be found there. The essay concentrates on the network aspects of patronage. – Cf. also Jancke, G.: *Selbstzeugnisse in transkultureller Perspektive* [engl.]. In: *zeitenblicke* 1/2 (2002) [20.12.2002], <http://www.zeitenblicke.historicum.net/2002/02/jancke/index.html>, 02.06.2003.

2 Cf. Jancke, G.: *Autobiography as Social Practice in Early Modern German Speaking Areas. Historical, methodological, and theoretical perspectives*. In: Akyildiz, Olcay / Kara, Halim / Sagaster, Börte (Eds.): *Autobiographical Themes in Turkish Literature: Theoretical and Comparative Perspectives*. Würzburg: Ergon 2004 (*Istanbuler Texte u. Studien*) [in print].

3 Weissman, Ronald: *Taking Patronage Seriously: Mediterranean Values and Renaissance Society*. In: Kent, F.W. / Simons, Patricia / Eade, J.C. (Eds.): *Patronage, Art, and Society in Renaissance Italy*. Canberra, Oxford: Oxford UP, Humanities Research Centre 1987 (*Humanities Research Centre / Oxford UP Ser.* 1), pp. 25-45.

4 Jancke 2002 (*Autobiographie als soziale Praxis*), p. 109f.

Networks and patronage<sup>1</sup> were an important part of premodern societies. Theories of modernization, relying on the concepts of Karl Marx, Jacob Burckhardt, and Max Weber, stress institutions and bureaucratic structures to the exclusion of patronage networks. Consequently, modernization narratives tend to situate patronage on the margins of the story they have to tell: either in the beginning, which was changed and improved afterwards, or, in strongly moral terms, as a corruption of personally-disinterested practices. A third way of marginalizing patronage is by representing it just as a singular relationship concerning a patron and his client, situated predominantly in special milieus like courts and the Catholic church and concerning special fields like art, literature, and politics.

The Renaissance and Reformation are crucial points for the beginning of modernization, so the narrative runs, and therefore also for the breakdown of patronage networks. Protestantism, humanism and early modern scholarship are most prominent factors in the modernization process, which resulted, among other things, in the development of the Western autonomous individual, liberated from traditions and personal relationships with all their social obligations. In this story, autobiographical writings are used as privileged sources, seemingly representing the autonomous individual and its development in the West. Needless to say, the individual of modernization theory is white, Christian, urban, male and educated. It is produced by way of implicit generalization, and it strongly influences our views of the autobiographical genres.<sup>2</sup>

In fact, autobiographical writings of the early modern period do not confirm this modernization narrative. On the contrary, they fit the model drawn, e.g., by Ronald Weissman. This reveals the enormous role of patronage networks in Renaissance society.<sup>3</sup> Autobiographical texts, written mostly by scholars, provide many insights into scholars' worlds and values – worlds largely shaped by patronage activities and patronage networks. The sources used here are 234 autobiographical writings written by 179 authors in the 15<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> centuries up to the beginning of the Thirty-Years' war. Most of these texts are from the 16<sup>th</sup> century; all of them are from the German-speaking areas.

According to these sources, getting access to patronage networks in most cases meant becoming somebody's student and, moreover, developing a special relationship with that teacher or professor. It was the teacher's or professor's part to decide *if* he wanted to support one of the young men studying with him, and *if* he wanted to enter into a relationship that would involve more than just teaching. Teaching itself might have a public and a private side. When Conrad Gesner (1516-1565) went to Montpellier to complete his studies in medicine he desperately tried to be admitted to one of the professors' households in order to attain access to the teaching that took place there. Unsuccessful in this endeavour, he left Montpellier because, as he wrote afterwards, the public lectures taught what he already knew.<sup>4</sup>

Getting a teacher's personal interest and support could be a first step to getting access not only to the teacher's instruction and guidance but also to his contacts and networks. Many autobiographers tell the story of one of those teaching relationships: For example, Daniel Greiser (1504-1591) met his future patron Erhard Schnepf when he was a student. Before Schnepf procured a job for him there was a personal relationship that grew more intense over years. Greiser became godparent of Schnepf's daughter, and he accompanied Schnepf's mother-in-law on her voyage from her home in southern Germany to her daughter and her son-in-law in the north. He invited Schnepf to his first marriage, continued his studies, and became a guest at Schnepf's table. Finding Greiser a job came after both patron and client had invested years in their relationship.

Whereas Greiser was able to approach Schnepf directly from the beginning, Sebastian Leonhart (1544-1610) had to gain initial access to the Leipzig humanist Joachim Camerarius indirectly. Leonhart went to school with one of Camerarius' sons, and the boys became friends. This way, writes Leonhart, he gained access to the father and began bringing him his Greek exercises. Joachim Camerarius took a liking to his son's friend, and he started to supervise him as a teacher and to support him as a patron, even when Leonhart did not follow his advice in his own choice of profession.

5 For some network studies relevant here cf. Reinhard, Wolfgang: *Freunde und Kreaturen. »Verflechtung« als Konzept zur Erforschung historischer Führungsgruppen. Römische Oligarchie um 1600.* München: Vögel 1979 (Schriften der Philosophischen Fachbereiche der Univ. Augsburg 14); Sieh-Burens, Katarina: *Oligarchie, Konfession und Politik im 16. Jahrhundert. Zur sozialen Verflechtung der Augsburger Bürgermeister und der Stadtpfleger 1518-1618.* München: Vögel 1986 (Schriften der Philosophischen Fakultäten der Univ. Augsburg 29); Teuscher, Simon: *Bekannte – Klienten – Verwandte. Soziale Verflechtung und Politik in der Stadt Bern um 1500.* Köln, Weimar, Wien: Böhlau 1998 (Norm u. Struktur 9).  
For recommendations cf. Jancke 2002 (*Autobiographie als soziale Praxis*), pp. 135-141.

6 Ibid., p. 137f.

7 Ibid., pp. 100-102.

8 Ibid., pp. 123-129.

9 Ibid., pp. 153-158.

Teachers and professors could serve as mediators to other scholars, thus constituting a scholars' network that recruited younger scholars as new members of a transregional scholars' community. Usually they did so by way of recommendation, mostly writing a recommendation letter to one of their contacts.<sup>5</sup> Thus, the student or fellow scholar was able to move on to another place and make his way in his new surroundings more easily, as if he were already known there personally. When, for example, Simon Lemnius (1511-1550) came to Wittenberg to continue his studies he brought letters of recommendation from his former teachers. With these he went to Philipp Melanchthon, one of the most important 16<sup>th</sup>-century brokers for scholars seeking jobs, funding, or accommodation. Melanchthon made the reading of Lemnius' letters of recommendation part of a public situation, sitting among other scholars and thus creating a ritual of scholars' sociability.<sup>6</sup>

Scholars could act as mediators to powerful men in the world of politics and business as well, thus helping the young men to get funding or employment. With the job might come integration into the employer's network: Lucas Geizkofler (1550-1620), for example, was helped by his elder brother Michael to get access to his brother's employers and patrons, the Fugger family. They supported Lucas' studies as a lawyer, gave him smaller jobs, and took care of his full professional training. After this, they gave him permanent employment. However, Geizkofler's success story with his patrons did not stop at this point. As he writes in his autobiography, his final point of success was when he married the daughter of one of the Fugger's foremost associates, the Hörmanns – a marriage, moreover, which came to pass through the Fuggers' initiative, a fact he was especially proud of. As a result, he was now firmly integrated into the Fuggers' networks, with employment and marriage as the final and decisive elements.<sup>7</sup>

In most cases, gaining access to patronage networks and the support they offered was the main issue. Access to networks was not in all cases deemed an aim in itself, even if it guaranteed support. In some cases it might be a question of how to avoid becoming involved or how to leave a network. This is described most vividly by Stephan Isaac (1542-1597) who was born Jewish, then converted together with his father and his brothers to Lutheranism as a small boy, and later converted again, this time to Catholicism. He studied in Douay, where he had already established himself as a physician and a professor of Hebrew and Chaldaic, when the magistrates of Cologne summoned him to receive a prebend. He had no desire to become a priest, which was contrary to his and his father's wishes for his professional life, but he had to go, evidently because his father and brothers were living in Cologne and because his father as a professor of Hebrew at Cologne university was subject to the magistrates' will. The Isaac family's dependency on the magistrate was aggravated by the fact that they had been received into the city's support system years ago and, after their conversion, had not had many alternatives to find new support networks. In this case, being a powerful patron's client was no individual but a family matter. Stephan Isaac's family solidarity was used by his patrons for their own politics: They wanted a loyal client in their own struggle for church prebends and religious policy. Consequently, Isaac became involved in competition among networks, and he received lucrative offers to change sides. He eventually left Cologne some years later to become a reformed minister near Heidelberg, judging the material resources he got in Cologne much less important than the religious and social pressures to which he was exposed. His case shows the longterm obligations and opportunities involved in patronage networks, spanning more than one generation and covering more than just one individual person.<sup>8</sup>

Martin Luther (1483-1546) shows another possibility in his famous preface to his Latin *Opera omnia* (1545). Changing patrons and networks could also signify the deep changes of belief systems and church structures we know as the Lutheran Reformation. To describe his transformation from Catholic priest to church reformer he uses the language of patronage networks: realizing that he did not get due support from his patron, the pope (*patronus papa*), and from the papal church's networks, he simply accepted the offer of his new patron, the Saxon Elector Friedrich the Wise. Consequently he became part of a quite different network, predominantly politically and territorially structured, with the church having become a matter of territorial and imperial politics. Only after he set up this framework of patronage does Martin Luther describe the development of his theology.<sup>9</sup>

Some points stand out from all these stories and fragments of information: Foremost, patrons made their own decisions, and *they* determined what mattered most to them. They had a range of possibilities to choose from, and they could do so arbitrarily.

10 *Ibid.*, pp. 144-148.

11 *Ibid.*, p. 173f.; male bonding as part of scholars' education described by Ong, Walter J., S.J.: *Latin Language Study as a Renaissance Puberty Rite*. In: *Studies in Philology* 56/2 (April 1959), pp. 103-124, esp. p. 109, p. 113; Ong, W.J., S.J.: *Agonistic Structures in Academia: Past to Present*. In: *Interchange* 5/4 (1974), pp. 1-12. – On early modern artisans' male bonding culture cf. Wiesner, Merry E.: *Guilds, Male Bonding, and Women's Work in Early Modern Germany*. In: *Gender & History* 1/2 (Summer 1989), pp. 125-147.

Yet, a number of common values becomes apparent: As in the case of Lucas Geizkofler, the potential client's abilities constituted an asset that counted for much with potential patrons. So, patronage depended on the scholar's/client's merit. It was granted as a favour, but it was not just a personal whim. At least that is the way the scholarly autobiographers want to put it. Other advantages often also played a role, like personal association. Geizkofler's brother was already a member of the patron's network, Sebastian Leonhart was a schoolfellow and friend of his patron's son.

Sharing the same religious loyalties could be a precondition for gaining or retaining support in many cases. Consequently, changing one's religious orientations often meant losing not only certain patrons but also membership in kinship or other support networks, as, for example, Gerhard Oemeken (1485-1562) tells his readers. His family had sent him to study for the priesthood. When he turned Protestant during his studies he lost access to his native family permanently. He managed to win powerful patrons instead, and he presented them as his second family, a source of support as well as shared loyalties.<sup>10</sup> Thus, patronage could affect personal religious decisions, and religious decisions could affect patronage. Lastly, gender was an issue, at least implicitly. Nearly all of the autobiographical texts were written by male authors, and their depiction of patronage is focussed on male actors. The scholarly world they show in their autobiographical writings was largely one of patronage relationships and networks, and it was predominantly a world of males facing other males in their patronage and network activities – a form of male bonding<sup>11</sup>. On the other hand, it is clear that women also acted as patronesses of scholars. So, the question of gaining access to these networks is but partly answered by these male autobiographers. Their texts represent their success in becoming part of patronage networks. Much of their own failings, as well as the role gender really played in their networks, are left out. For early modern scholars, success and failure were certainly questions of patronage rather than of institutions, like church or university. The cultural representation they shaped for themselves in their autobiographical writings may privilege certain actors and their resources. Maybe these authors wanted to make a statement not so much about who really was part of their networks but about whom they were concerned with and about who should be visible for their audience.

### Conclusion

Early modern scholars seem to have relied on patronage networks to forward their careers. The fields in which they were most active and influential, namely education, government, religion, were shaped by these networks and their activities within these networks. If we want to call the processes instigated in these areas »modernization«, then we have to take into account that Western modernization processes involved some of the same elements as in other premodern societies. We have to find out more exactly how this worked. Perhaps we should start also thinking in terms of multiple modernities, not just *one* path to just *one* modernity.<sup>12</sup> Changing focus from institutions to networks also brings more complicated issues of gender and religion, of inclusion and exclusion, to the fore. Scholars' networks certainly were not gender-exclusive, but they also were not gender-indifferent. We still have to discover the workings of a network society. But simple narratives of modernization via institutionalization will have to be changed. Autobiographical writings, written by authors who wanted to represent themselves not as autonomous individuals, but rather in relationships and in networks, might give us decisive clues for this.

**Dr. Gabriele Jancke** is a historian; studies in history and German literature in Frankfurt/M., Würzburg, Bochum; she works in the Dept. of History, Free Univ. Berlin, and is a member of the research group *Selbstzeugnisse in transkultureller Perspektive* at FU Berlin; fields of research: early modern history; gender history; historical anthropology; autobiographical writings; Jewish history; scholars; convents; hospitality.  
Contact: jancke@zedat.fu-berlin.de

<sup>13</sup> Many thanks to Ursula Fuhrich-Grubert for sharing some of these references with me.

### Selected Bibliography: Networks and Network Analysis<sup>13</sup>

#### 1. Networks in general:

ALBACH, Horst: *Zerrissene Netze. Eine Netzwerkanalyse des ostdeutschen Transformationsprozesses*. Berlin: Ed. Sigma 1993.

ALEXANDER, M.C. / Danowski, J.A.: *Analysis of an Ancient Network. Personal Communication and the Study of Social Structure in a Past Society*. In: *Social Networks* 12 (1990), pp. 313-335.

ANDERSON, James A. / Rosenfeld, Edward (Eds.): *Talking Nets. An oral history of neural networks*. Cambridge/Mass. et al.: MIT Pr. 2000.

ANGERMEYER, Matthias C. / Klusmann, Dietrich (Eds.): *Soziales Netzwerk. Ein neues Konzept für die Psychiatrie*. Berlin et al.: Springer 1989.

ARNDT, Johannes: *Das Heilige Römische Reich und die Niederlande 1566 bis 1648. Politisch-konfessionelle Verflechtung und Publizistik im Achtzigjährigen Krieg*. Köln, Weimar, Wien: Böhlau 1998 (*Münstersche historische Forschungen* 13).

BARNES, John A.: *Social Networks*. Reading/Mass.: Addison-Wesley 1972.

BENNETT, Judith M.: *Women in the Medieval English Countryside. Gender and Household in Brigstock Before the Plague*. New York, Oxford: Oxford UP 1987, pp. 217-222.

BOISSEVAIN, Jeremy / Mitchell, J. Clyde (Eds.): *Network Analysis: Studies in Human Interaction*. The Hague, Paris: Mouton 1973 (*Change and Continuity in Africa*).

BORCHERS, Andreas: *Zwischen Enkelbetreuung und Altenpflege. Die mittlere Generation im Spiegel der Netzwerkforschung*. Frankfurt/M., New York: Campus 1993 (Reihe »Stiftung Der Private Haushalt« 19).

BOTT, E.: *Family and Social Network: Roles, Norms, and External Relationships in Ordinary Urban Families*. New York: The Free Pr. 1971 [first ed.: 1957].

BRECHTEL, Thomas: *Die Veränderung der Machtverteilung im deutschen Politikfeld Arbeit. Eine empirische Netzwerkanalyse über die Auswirkungen institutioneller Reformen und parteipolitischer Mehrheitsverhältnisse auf die Positionen der arbeits- und sozialpolitischen Organisationen*. Aachen: Shaker 1998 (*Berichte aus der Politik*).

CHOJNACKA, Monica / Wiesner-Hanks, Merry E. (Eds.): *Ages of Woman, Ages of Man. Sources in European Social History, 1400-1750*. London et al.: Longman 2002, esp. ch. 7: *Networks*, pp. 217-250.

DAHL, Gunnar: *Trade, Trust, and Networks. Commercial Culture in Late Medieval Italy*. Lund: Nordic Academic Pr. 1998.

DAVIS, Natalie Zemon: *Glaube und nachbarschaftliche Beziehungen. Die Steine von Sainte-Croix*. In: Davis, N.Z.: *Frauen und Gesellschaft am Beginn der Neuzeit. Studien über Familie, Religion und die Wandlungsfähigkeit des sozialen Körpers*. Berlin: Wagenbach 1986, pp. 52-63, lit. p. 144f.

DENZEL, Markus A.: »Wissensmanagement« und »Wissensnetzwerke« der Kaufleute: Aspekte kaufmännischer Kommunikation im späten Mittelalter. In: *Das Mittelalter* 6/1 (2001), pp. 73-90.

DIAZ-BONE, Rainer: *Ego-zentrierte Netzwerkanalyse und familiäre Beziehungssysteme*. Wiesbaden: Deutscher Univ.-Verl. 1997 (DUV: Sozialwissenschaft).

DI LEONARDO, Micaela: The Female World of Cards and Holidays: Women, Families, and the Work of Kinship. In: *Signs* 12 (1987), pp. 440-453.

EDELMAYER, Friedrich: Söldner und Pensionäre. Das Netzwerk Philipps II. im Heiligen Römischen Reich. München: Oldenbourg 2000 (Studien zur Geschichte u. Kultur der Iberischen u. Ibero-amerikanischen Länder 7).

FRANKE, Eva Susanne: Netzwerke, Innovationen und Wirtschaftssystem. Eine Untersuchung am Beispiel des Druckmaschinenbaus im geteilten Deutschland (1945-1999). Stuttgart: Steiner 2000 (Beitr. zur Wirtschafts- u. Sozialgeschichte 90).

FUHRICH-GRUBERT, Ursula: Der Oberpräsident Theodor von Schön. Ein Liberaler im Kampf um Preußens permanente Reform? In: Holtz, Bärbel / Spenkuch, Hartwin (Eds.): *Preußens Weg in die politische Moderne. Verfassung – Verwaltung – politische Kultur zwischen Reform und Reformblockade*. Berlin: Akademie 2001 (Berichte u. Abhandlungen/Berlin-Brandenburgische Akad. der Wiss., Sonderbd. 7), pp. 29-58.

FUHRICH-GRUBERT, Ursula: Verflechtungen zwischen »Öffentlichkeit« und »Privatheit«: Politische Netzwerke Theodor von Schöns. In: Söseman, Bernd (Ed.): *Kommunikation und Medien in Preußen vom 16. bis zum 19. Jahrhundert*. Stuttgart: Steiner 2002 (Beitr. zur Kommunikationsgeschichte 12), pp. 323-344.

GARNIER, Claudia: Amicus amicis – inimicus inimicis. Politische Freundschaft und fürstliche Netzwerke im 13. Jahrhundert. Stuttgart: Hiersemann 2000 (Monographien zur Geschichte des Mittelalters 46).

GENTILE, Carlo: Begegnungen mit jüdischer Kultur in Nordrhein-Westfalen. Projekt *Kommunikation und Vernetzung*; Organisationen, Bildungseinrichtungen und Initiativen. Köln: Netzwerk, Geschichte und Leben der Juden in Nordrhein-Westfalen 1997.

GILLIS, John R.: *A World of Their Own Making. Myth, Ritual, and the Quest for Family Values*. Cambridge/Mass.: Harvard UP 1997.

GILMORE, D.D.: Men and Women in Southern Spain: Domestic Power Revisited. In: *American Anthropologist* 92 (1990), pp. 953-970.

GULLIVER, P.H.: Neighbours and Networks. The Idiom of Kinship in Social Action among the Ndendeuli of Tanzania. Berkeley et al.: Univ. of California Pr. 1971.

HÄBERLEIN, Mark: Brüder, Freunde und Betrüger. Soziale Beziehungen, Normen und Konflikte in der Augsburger Kaufmannschaft um die Mitte des 16. Jahrhunderts. Berlin: Akademie 1998 (Colloquia Augustana 9).

HARDMEIER, Sibylle: Frühe Frauenstimmrechtsbewegung in der Schweiz (1890-1930). Argumente, Strategien, Netzwerk und Gegenbewegung. Zürich: Chronos 1997.

HASSELBERG, Y.: Letters, Social Networks and the Embedded Economy in Sweden: Some Remarks on the Swedish Bourgeoisie, 1800-1850. In: Earle, Rebecca (Ed.): *Epistolary Selves. Letters and Letter-Writers, 1600-1945*. Aldershot: Ashgate 1999 (Warwick Studies in the Humanities 4), pp. 95-107.

HOFMEISTER, Andrea: Opposition via Pressepolitik: Netzwerke bei der Arbeit. In: Söseman, Bernd (Ed.): *Kommunikation und Medien in Preußen vom 16. bis zum 19. Jahrhundert*. Stuttgart: Steiner 2002 (Beitr. zur Kommunikationsgeschichte 12), pp. 303-322.

HOLLAND, Paul W. / Leinhardt, Samuel (Eds.): *Perspectives on Social Network Research*. New York, London et al.: Academic Pr. 1979 (Quantitative Studies in Social Relations).

JANSEN, Dorothea: Einführung in die Netzwerkanalyse. Grundlagen, Methoden, Forschungsbeispiele. Opladen: Leske + Budrich, 2<sup>nd</sup> enlarged ed. 2003 [1st ed.: 1999].

JANSEN, Dorothea: Networks, Social Capital, and Knowledge Production. Speyer: Forschungsinst. für Öffentl. Verwaltung 2004 (FÖV Discussion Papers 8).

JORIS, Elisabeth / Witzig, Heidi: Die Pflege des Beziehungsnetzes als frauenspezifische Form von »Sociabilité«. In: Jost, Hans Ulrich / Tanner, Albert (Eds.): Geselligkeit, Sozietäten und Vereine = Sociabilité et faits associatifs. Zürich: Chronos 1991 (Schweiz. Ges. für Wirtschafts- u. Sozialgeschichte 9).

KÄHLER, H.D.: Das Konzept des sozialen Netzwerkes. Eine Einführung in die Literatur. In: Zeitschrift für Soziologie 4/3 (1975), pp. 283-290.

KENIS, Patrick: Organisation und Netzwerk. Institutionelle Steuerung in Wirtschaft und Politik. Frankfurt/M., New York: Campus 1996 (Wohlfahrtspolitik u. Sozialforschung 2).

KNAB, Maria: Frauen und Verhältnisse. Eine sozialpolitische Netzwerkanalyse. Herbolzheim: Centaurus 2001 (Betrifft: Geschlecht 3).

KNIPSCHER, Kees C.P.M. / Antinucci, Toni C. (Eds.): Social Network Research. Substantive Issues and Methodological Questions. Amsterdam et al.: Swets & Zeitlinger 1990.

LANDÉ, Carl H.: Networks and Groups in South-East Asia: Some Observations on the Group Theory of Politics. In: American Political Science Review 67 (1973), pp. 103-127.

LEINHARDT, Samuel (Ed.): Social Networks: A Developing Paradigm. New York: Academic Pr. 1977 (Quantitative Studies in Social Relations).

LEVI, Giovanni: Family and Kin – A Few Thoughts. In: Journal of Family History 15 (1990), pp. 567-578.

LOIMEIER, Roman (Ed.): Die islamische Welt als Netzwerk. Möglichkeiten und Grenzen des Netzwerkansatzes im islamischen Kontext. Würzburg: Ergon 2000 (Mitteilungen zur Sozial- u. Kulturgeschichte der islamischen Welt 9).

MCCRACKEN, G.: The Exchange of Children in Tudor England. In: Journal of Family History 8 (1983), pp. 303-313.

MAYR-KLEFFEL, Verena: Frauen und ihre sozialen Netzwerke. Auf der Suche nach einer verlorenen Ressource. Opladen: Leske + Budrich 1991.

MEYER, Günter (Ed.): Globalisierung und Lokalisierung. Netzwerke in der Dritten Welt. Mainz: Univ. Mainz 1997 (Veröffentlichungen/Johannes Gutenberg Univ. Mainz, Interdisziplinärer Arbeitskreis Dritte Welt 12).

MODROW-THIEL, Brita / Rossmann, Gisela / Wächter, Hartmut: Chancen und Barrieren menschengerechter Arbeit in Klein- und Mittelbetrieben. Eine Netzwerkanalyse betrieblicher Entscheidungen. München et al.: Hampp 1993.

PADGETT, John F. / Ansell, Christopher K.: Robust Action and the Rise of the Medici, 1400-1434. In: American Journal of Sociology 98/4 (1993), pp. 1259-1319.

PAPPI, Franz Urban (Ed.): Methoden der Netzwerkanalyse. München: Oldenbourg 1987 (Techniken der empirischen Sozialforschung 1).

PLAKANS, Andrejs: Kinship in the Past. An Anthropology of European Family Life, 1500-1900. Oxford et al.: Blackwell 1984, esp. ch. 10: *The Network Concept*, pp. 217-240.

RAJKAY, Barbara: Verflechtung und Entflechtung. Sozialer Wandel in einer bikonfessionellen Stadt. Oettingen 1560-1806. Augsburg: Wißner 1999 (Materialien zur Geschichte des Bayerischen Schwabens 25).

REICHMUTH, Stefan: »Netzwerke« und »Weltsystem«. Konzepte zur neuzeitlichen »Islamischen Welt« und ihrer Transformation. In: Saeculum 51/2 (2000), pp. 267-293.

REINHARD, Wolfgang: Freunde und Kreaturen. »Verflechtung« als Konzept zur Erforschung historischer Führungsgruppen. Römische Oligarchie um 1600. München: Vögel 1979 (Schriften der Philosophischen Fachbereiche der Univ. Augsburg 14).

REINHARD, Wolfgang: Oligarchische Verflechtung und Konfession in oberdeutschen Städten. In: Mączak, Antoni (Ed.) unter Mitarb. v. Elisabeth Müller-Luckner: Klientensysteme im Europa der Frühen Neuzeit. München: Oldenbourg 1988 (Schriften des Historischen Kollegs. Kolloquien 9), pp. 47-62.

REINHARDT, Nicole: Macht und Ohnmacht der Verflechtung. Rom und Bologna unter Paul V. Studien zur frühneuzeitlichen Kirchenpolitik im Kirchenstaat. Tübingen: Bibliotheca Academica 2000 (Frühneuzeit-Forschungen 8).

Réseaux marchands. In: Annales. Histoire, Sciences sociales 58/3 (mai-juin 2003) [with essays by Anthony Molho / Diogo Ramada Curto: Les réseaux marchands à l'époque moderne, pp. 569-579; Francesca Trivellato: Juifs de Livourne, Italiens de Lisbonne, hindous de Goa. Réseaux marchands et échanges interculturels à l'époque moderne, pp. 581-603; Maria Fusaro: Les Anglais et les Grecs. Un réseau de coopération commerciale en Méditerranée vénitienne, pp. 605-625; Daviken Studnicki-Gizbert: La »nation« portugaise. Réseaux marchands dans l'espace atlantique à l'époque moderne, pp. 627-648; David J. Hancock: L'émergence d'une économie de réseau (1640-1815). Le vin de Madère, pp. 649-672].

SABEAN, David W.: Social Background to *Vetterleswirtschaft*. Kinship in Neckarhausen. In: Vierhaus, Rudolf (Ed.): Frühe Neuzeit – Frühe Moderne? Forschungen zur Vielschichtigkeit von Übergangsprozessen. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht 1992 (Veröffentlichungen des Max-Planck-Inst. für Geschichte 104), pp. 113-132.

SANJEK, Roger: Art. Network Analysis. In: Barnard, Alan / Spencer, Jonathan (Eds.): Encyclopedia of Social and Cultural Anthropology. London, New York: Routledge 1996, pp. 396f.

SCHEIDT, Beate: Wie sollte die Förderung junger Technologieunternehmen organisiert werden? Ein Beitrag zur empirischen Netzwerkanalyse. Berlin: TU 1994 (Diskussionspapier/Wirtschaftswissenschaftliche Dokumentation 1994, 13).

SCHENK, Michael: Soziale Netzwerke und Massenmedien. Untersuchungen zum Einfluß der persönlichen Kommunikation. Tübingen: Mohr 1995.

SCHULZ, Günther (Ed.): Sozialer Aufstieg. Funktionseliten im Spätmittelalter und in der Frühen Neuzeit. München: Boldt im Oldenbourg Verl. 2002 (Deutsche Führungsschichten in der Neuzeit 25).

SCHWEIZER, Thomas: Muster sozialer Ordnung. Netzwerkanalyse als Fundament der Sozialethnologie. Berlin: Reimer 1996.

SCHWEIZER, Thomas (Ed.): Netzwerkanalyse. Ethnologische Perspektiven. Berlin: Reimer 1988 (Ethnologische Paperbacks).

SEIDEL, Björn: Autoritätsverhältnisse in einem Nabdām-Dorf, Nordghana. Eine Netzwerkanalyse. Bonn: Holos 1995 (Kölner ethnologische Arbeitspapiere 11).

STAECK, Nicola: Politikprozesse in der Europäischen Union. Eine Policy-Netzwerkanalyse der europäischen Strukturpolitik. Baden-Baden: Nomos 1997 (Nomos-Universitätschriften: Politik 71).

STEUER, Peter: Die Außenverflechtung der Augsburger Oligarchie von 1500-1620. Studien zur sozialen Verflechtung der politischen Führungsschicht der Reichsstadt Augsburg. Augsburg: AV-Verl. 1988 (Materialien zur Geschichte des bayerischen Schwaben 10).

SYDOW, Jörg: Organisation von Netzwerken. Strukturierungstheoretische Analysen der Vermittlungspraxis in Versicherungsnetzwerken. Opladen: Westdt. Verl. 1995 (Schriftenreihe der ISDN-Forschungskommission des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen).

SYDOW, Jörg / Windeler, Arnold (Eds.): Steuerung von Netzwerken. Konzepte und Praktiken. Opladen: Westdt. Verl. 2001.

VOBIS, Gertrud: Die jüdische Minderheit in Westeuropa: Die literarischen Salons im 19. Jahrhundert als Quelle für Netzwerkanalysen. In: Schweizer, Thomas (Ed.): Netzwerkanalyse. Ethnologische Perspektiven. Berlin: Reimer 1988 (Ethnologische Paperbacks), pp. 167-184.

WAGNER, Birgit: Denken (und Schreiben) in Netzwerken: Antonio Gramsci, Walter Benjamin und Antonio Machado. In: Göttlich, Udo / Mikos, Lothar / Winter, Rainer (Eds.): Die Werkzeugkiste der Cultural Studies. Perspektiven, Anschlüsse und Interventionen. Bielefeld: Transcript 2001 (Cultural Studies 2), pp. 223-241.

WASSERMAN, Stanley / Faust, Katherine: Social Network Analysis. Methods and Applications. Repr. with corr. Cambridge et al.: Cambridge UP 21997 [first ed.: 1994] (Structural Analysis in the Social Sciences 8).

WEYER, Johannes (Ed.): Soziale Netzwerke. Konzepte und Methoden der sozialwissenschaftlichen Netzwerkforschung. München et al.: Oldenbourg 2000 (Lehr- u. Handbücher der Soziologie).

WHYMAN, Susan E.: Sociability and Power in Late-Stuart England. The Cultural Worlds of the Verneys 1660-1720. Oxford: Oxford UP 1999.

ZËVI, Dror: An Ottoman Century. The District of Jerusalem in the 1600s. (SUNY Series in Medieval Middle East History). Albany, NY: State Univ. of New York Pr. 1996, esp. ch. 7: *Worlds Apart: Women in a Men's World*, pp. 173-189, par.: *A Separate Network*, pp. 187-189.

## 2. Networks, especially patronage relationships:

BOISSEVAIN, Jeremy: Friends of Friends: Networks, Manipulators, and Coalitions. Oxford: Blackwell 1974 (A Blackwell Paperback, Pavilion Series: Social Anthropology).

BRUCKER, Gene A.: Florenz in der Renaissance. Stadt, Gesellschaft, Kultur. Reinbek: Rowohlt 1990 (rororo kulturen u. ideen 480) [first ed. in Engl.: Renaissance Florence. New York etc.: Wiley 1969 (New Dimensions in History)].

BURKOLTER, Verena: The Patronage System: Theoretical Remarks. Basel: Social Strategies Publ. 1976 (Monographien zur Soziologie u. Gesellschaftspolitik 4).

DEMANDT, Karl E.: Amt und Familie. Eine soziologisch-genealogische Studie zur hessischen Verwaltungsgeschichte des 16. Jahrhunderts. In: Hessische Jb. für Landesgeschichte 2 (1952), pp. 79-133.

DIXON, Suzanne: A Family Business: Women's Role in Patronage and Politics at Rome 80-44 B.C. In: *Classica & Medievalia* 34 (1983), pp. 90-112.

EISENSTADT, Samuel N.: Some Analytical Approaches to the Study of Patronage. Preface. In: Burkolter, Verena: *The Patronage System: Theoretical Remarks*. Basel: Social Strategies Publ. 1976 (Monographien zur Soziologie u. Gesellschaftspolitik 4), pp. VII-XII.

EISENSTADT, Samuel N. / Roniger, Louis: Patron-Client Relations as a Mode of Structuring Social Exchange. In: *Comparative Studies in History and Society* 22 (1980), pp. 42-77.

FERRANTE, Lucia et al. (Eds.): *Ragnatele di rapporti: Patronage e reti di relazione nella storia delle donne*. Torino: Rosenberg & Sellier 1988 (Soggetto donna 4).

ISRAEL, Jonathan I.: *European Jewry in the Age of Mercantilism, 1550-1750*. Oxford 1989 [first ed.: 1985] 171.194.234; 3rd ed., rev. and updated: London etc.: Vallentine Mitchell 1998 (The Littman Library of Jewish Civilization).

HENGERER, Mark: *Adelsintegration am Kaiserhof (1618-1665). Zeremoniell, Personal, Finanzen, Netzwerke*. Ein Dissertationsprojekt. In: *Frühneuzeit-Info* 9/2 (1998), pp. 274-279.

KATZ, Jacob: *Tradition and Crisis. Jewish Society at the End of the Middle Ages*. Transl. and with an afterword by Bernard Dov Cooperman. New York: New York UP 1993, esp. p. 193 + n. 26f.; p. 206 + n. 10; 217 + n. 5.

MAĆZAK, Antoni (Ed.) unter Mitarb. v. Müller-Luckner, Elisabeth: *Klientensysteme im Europa der Frühen Neuzeit*. München: Oldenbourg 1988 (Schriften des Historischen Kollegs. Kolloquien 9), Kap. I: *Soziale Verflechtungen im Reich unter den Gesichtspunkten Recht, Konfession und Politik*, pp. 1-82.

REINHARD, Wolfgang: *Freunde und Kreaturen. »Verflechtung« als Konzept zur Erforschung historischer Führungsgruppen. Römische Oligarchie um 1600*. München: Vögel 1979 (Schriften der Philosophischen Fachbereiche der Univ. Augsburg 14).

SIEH-BURENS, Katarina: *Oligarchie, Konfession und Politik im 16. Jahrhundert. Zur sozialen Verflechtung der Augsburger Bürgermeister und der Stadtpfleger 1518-1618*. München: Vögel 1986 (Schriften der Philosophischen Fakultät der Univ. Augsburg 29).

STRAUSS, Gerald: *Law, Resistance, and the State. The Opposition to Roman Law in Reformation Germany*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton UP 1986, pp. 183-190.

TEUSCHER, Simon: *Bekannte – Klienten – Verwandte. Soziabilität und Politik in der Stadt Bern um 1500*. Köln, Weimar, Wien: Böhlau 1998 (Norm u. Struktur 9).

WOLF, Eric: *Kinship, Friendship, and Patron-Client Relations in Complex Societies*. In: Michael Banton (Ed.): *The Social Anthropology of Complex Societies*. London: Tavistock 1966 (A.S.A. Monographs 4), pp. 1-22 [repr. in: Schmidt, Steffen W. / Guasti, Laura / Landé, Carl H. / Scott, James S. (Eds.): *Friends, Followers and Factions: A Reader in Political Clientelism*. Berkeley et al.: Univ. of California Pr. 1977, pp. 167-177].